

# Public Document Pack

## CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

Venue: Town Hall, Moorgate  
Street, Rotherham.

Date: Monday, 7 June 2004

Time: 9.30 a.m.

### A G E N D A

1. To determine if the following matters are to be considered under the categories suggested in accordance with the Local Government Act 1972.
2. To determine any item which the Chairman is of the opinion should be considered as a matter of urgency.
3. Minutes of meetings held on 29th March, 19th and 28th April and 10th May, 2004  
(see Orange Delegated Powers Book dated 27<sup>th</sup> March-12<sup>th</sup> May, 2004, Pages 1C-19C)
4. Wath Regeneration Scheme - Disposal of land off Denman Road (Pages 1 - 2)  
- report of Head of Housing Services
5. Eastwood Village - Acquisition of Property (Pages 3 - 4)  
- report of Head of Housing Services
6. Housing Investment Programme Outturn Position 2003/04 (Pages 5 - 11)  
- report of Finance and Accountancy Manager
7. Exclusion of the Press and Public  
Resolved:- That, under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in the paragraphs indicated below of Part I of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972.
8. Housing Investment Programme - 2004/05 (Pages 12 - 20)  
(Exempt under Paragraphs 8 of the Act – supply of services)
9. Housing and Environmental Services Complaints Panel held on 12th May, 2004 (Pages 21 - 24)  
(Exempt under Paragraphs 4 and 7 of the Act – service provided by the Authority/affairs of a particular person)
10. Three Star Gas Service Plan to Council Properties (Pages 25 - 30)

(Exempt under Paragraphs 8 and 9 of the Act – supply of services/negotiation of terms)

11. Extension of Time - Home Repair Assistance Grant (Pages 31 - 32)  
(Exempt under Paragraphs 4, 5 and 8 of the Act – contains name and addresses of individual requesting financial assistance)
12. Contract for Fixed Wire Testing of Domestic Properties (Pages 33 - 34)  
(Exempt under Paragraphs 8 and 9 of the Act – provision of services/negotiation of terms)
13. Tender Report for Electrical Upgrading of Council Properties (Pages 35 - 36)  
(Exempt under Paragraphs 8 and 9 of the Act – supply of services/negotiation of terms)
14. Funding of Discretionary Disabled Facilities Grant (Pages 37 - 40)  
(Exempt under Paragraphs of 4, 5 and 8 of the Act – contains names and address of individuals requesting financial assistance)
15. Public and Private Sector Applications exceeding Delegated Powers (Pages 41 - 63)  
(Exempt under Paragraphs 4, 5 and 8 of the Act – contains names and addresses of individuals requesting financial assistance)

**ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT TO MEMBERS**

1. **Meeting** CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES
2. **Date of Meeting** 7 June 2004
3. **Title** WATH REGENERATION SCHEME – DISPOSAL OF LAND OFF DENMAN ROAD, WATH
4. **Originating Officer** Paul Benson  
Principal Regeneration Officer, Ext 4354
5. **Issue**  
To dispose of land off Denman Road, Wath following demolition of 10 blocks of three storey flats incorporating a planning brief as a guideline for redevelopment.
6. **Summary**  
As part of the regeneration programme for the area, 10 blocks of three storey flats were demolished as demand was low and they contributed to the decline of the estate. Permission is now sought to dispose of the land and to use the planning brief, which incorporates contributions to be made by the successful developer towards the long-term sustainability of the estate.
7. **Clearance/Consultation**  
Full consultation has taken place with Ward Councillors, Property Services and the community through public meetings and a monthly steering group.
8. **Timing**  
The marketing and sale of the land can commence as soon as permission is granted to dispose of the land off Denman Road, Wath.
9. **Background**  
The three storey blocks of flats were no longer in demand and a decision was approved to demolish them following consultation with the community. Prior to demolition, it was agreed with the community that the land would be sold for comprehensive development. The planning requirements are as follows:
  - The site is suitable for between 78 and 130 residential properties catering for a wide range of occupiers within an innovative and imaginatively designed layout.
  - The overall design will comply with the principles of 'Secured by Design' and 'Planning out Crime'.
  - A high standard of landscape design will be incorporated into the overall design.
  - An area of the development land will be earmarked for affordable housing.
  - Access will be provided for those people with a disability and good footpath and cycle links to Wath Town Centre will be incorporated into the estate design increasing the site's sustainability credentials.
  - A toddler's play area, appropriately equipped, and fenced to keep dogs out, will be provided within the development.It is felt that an integrated, sustainable development and one benefiting the wider community will be created by meeting these planning requirements.

10. **Argument**

To comprehensively redevelop the estate, it is necessary to dispose of the land to a private developer in collaboration with a housing association to achieve the needs and aspirations of the community. It is anticipated that the disposal of the land will be subject to a clause to ensure that the needs and aspirations of the community are met.

11. **Risks and Uncertainties**

The provision of a number of requests to ensure the long-term sustainability of the estate on the developer may affect the capital receipt received following the sale of the land.

12. **Finance**

Funds are already apportioned towards the disposal of the land and are included within the £14 million projection for the regeneration of the estate. However, monies have been requested from the Housing Market Renewal Pathfinder to assist with the marketing of the land to the sum of £45,000.

13. **Sustainability**

It is considered that the disposal of the land for comprehensive redevelopment is the most suitable course of action for the Wath Regeneration scheme.

14. **Wards Affected**

Ward 22

15. **References**

N/A

16. **Presentation**

N/A

17. **Recommendations**

**THAT MEMBERS GRANT PERMISSION TO DISPOSE OF THE LAND OFF DENMAN ROAD.**

**ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT TO MEMBERS**

1. **Meeting** CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES
2. **Date of Meeting** 7 June 2004
3. **Title** EASTWOOD VILLAGE –  
TO ACQUIRE 11 ELDON ROAD, EASTWOOD FROM A  
PRIVATE LANDLORD IN EXCHANGE FOR 33 ELDON  
ROAD, EASTWOOD TO ENABLE A DEMOLITION SCHEME  
TO TAKE PLACE
4. **Originating Officer** Jason Oxley  
Senior Housing Regeneration Officer, Ext 4351
5. **Issue**  
To allow Rotherham Borough Council to acquire 11 Eldon Road, through an exchange, from a private landlord to enable the demolition of a block of 8 terraced houses.
6. **Summary**  
Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council has highlighted that a number of non-decent properties within Eastwood Village would benefit from demolition. Following extensive consultation, this is also the view of the community.  
  
On Eldon Road there is a block of 8 terraced properties, four of which have stood empty for around 12 months. Recently, the private landlord of 11 Eldon Road has stated that he would be interested in exchanging 11 Eldon Rd for 33 Eldon Rd.
7. **Clearance/Consultation**  
Ward Councillors have been given the opportunity to object to the issue and no objection has been made. Those concerned with the Regeneration of Eastwood Village are in support of this request. Extensive consultation was carried out in 2000 and a recent petition of approximately 200 signatures highlights that the community is still eager to have this area of housing demolished for the benefit of the Village.
8. **Timing**  
A decision is required as soon as possible to enable environmental designs to be agreed by the community, and works to be undertaken in the financial year 2004/05 whilst funds are available for demolition and environmental works.
9. **Background**  
Eastwood Village is one of Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council's Regeneration Areas and was designated a Renewal Area in 1998. A tentative feasibility study was carried out on three suitable demolition sites, consisting of a combined total of 40 properties, in December 1998.

In addition to this study, extensive individual consultation began in the summer of 1999 on the three areas, with further consultation of the wider community taking place in March 2000. The results of these consultation exercises indicated the community's

strong desire to see the areas demolished and possible future uses of the sites were identified.

The idea of exchange has been put before the Head of Housing Services and Rotherham Borough Council's legal department who agree that to exchange properties is the best way forward. The only alternative route would be to issue the landlord with a Compulsory Purchase Order should he not agree to sell 11 Eldon Road, which would be costly to both Rotherham Borough Council and the community and could take up to a year to complete. Also 33 Eldon Rd is a 'miscellaneous' property which is likely to be sold of in the future and would assist in resolving two problems in one action.

**10. Argument**

Rotherham MBC is committed to the continuation of improvements within Eastwood Village. The steering group for Eastwood Village has already forwarded a petition to the Cabinet Member regarding the time taken to demolish properties within Eastwood. By demolishing these properties, the community will be provided with what they were promised previously and a potential drug problem will be alleviated.

**11. Risks and Uncertainties**

If the opportunity for exchange is not authorised, the demolition of all eight properties within this block will not occur until a Compulsory Purchase Order has been completed.

The private landlord of 11 Eldon Road has provisionally agreed to the exchange of properties to assist in the demolition of the eight properties on Eldon Road.

**12. Finance**

The only costs to be borne by exchanging 11 Eldon Road for 33 Eldon Road would be legal administrative costs, plus £1500 cash which would bring both properties up to the same value. There will be a potential saving to Housing Services of around £15,000.

**13. Sustainability**

By exchanging this property it would enable Rotherham Borough Council to demolish a full block of eight non-decent properties and therefore carry out works to create a sustainable environment, which will benefit the community of Eastwood Village.

**14. Wards Affected**

Ward 15 - Park

**15. References**

None

**16. Presentation**

None

**17. Recommendations**

**TO EXCHANGE 33 ELDON ROAD, EASTWOOD (A ROTHERHAM COUNCIL MISCELLANEOUS PROPERTY) FOR 11 ELDON ROAD, EASTWOOD, A PROPERTY OWNED BY A PRIVATE LANDLORD IN THE EASTWOOD VILLAGE.**

**ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT TO MEMBERS**

1. **Meeting**            **CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES**
2. **Date of Meeting** **7 June 2004**
3. **Title**                Housing Investment Programme (HIP) Out-turn Position 2003/04
4. **Originating Officer**    Anne Ellis  
                                 Finance and Accountancy Manager  
                                 Tel Ext 3421
5. **Issue**  
    To inform Members of the year-end position in respect of the 2003/04 Housing Investment Programme (HIP).
6. **Summary**  
    By the 31<sup>st</sup> March 2004, £29.6m or 102.75% of the revised Housing Investment Programme of £28.8m had been spent. It should be noted that additional resources were identified in February and Members approved the bringing forward of planned spending from 2004/05 in order to maximise use of resources during the 2003/04 financial year. The final out-turn position compares with a projected spend of £28.6m, which was reported in February. In spite however, of the increase in the last quarter of the financial year, expenditure represented some 97.3% of the original HIP of £30.4m which was approved in June 2003, having been scaled back earlier in the year when it became clear some capital receipts would not be available to support the programme. Nevertheless, this level of spending compares favourably with 89.2% of the approved programme achieved in 2002/03.
7. **Clearance/Consultation**  
    The contents of this report have been discussed with the Programme Area Management Team and Corporate Finance.
8. **Timing**  
    This report is being submitted to Cabinet Member prior to submission to Corporate Management Team and Cabinet.
9. **Background**  
    This is the last in a series of monitoring reports presented to members during the financial year, the last one being submitted on 2 February, which provided details of spending to the end of December 2003.
10. **Argument**  
    Spending : In June 2003 a HIP of £30.412m was approved by members, the programme was composed of a range of schemes across the public and private sectors grouped in accordance with the Regional priorities identified by the Government Office for Yorkshire and the Humber into the following categories. During the year spending plans were revised in the light of the projected level of resources available and set out below are the final spending totals for each priority:

Priority	Spending £m	Proportion of Programme %
Decent Homes Local Authority Sector	21.838	73.8
Decent Homes Private Sector		
Affordable Homes	1.340	4.5
Fair Access to All	3.196	10.8
Regeneration/Neighbourhood Renewal	2.985	10.1
Other	0.221	0.8
TOTAL	29.580	100.0

As the table shows the HIP spending has been focused on Decent Homes , which reflects the Council's commitment to achieving the Decent Homes target of all of non-decent properties being brought up to the decency standard by 2010.

Appendix 1 provides a detailed analysis of the spending on individual schemes. Overall, spending represents some 102.75% of the programme, however, in February Members accepted the monitoring report with the proposals to use additional resources, chiefly generated by "Right to Buy" sales in funding work on the replacement of boilers containing asbestos and, where possible, bringing forward schemes and spending from 2004/05.

As a result of this spending during the year is some £1m higher than projected in the report of 2 February additional expenditure having been incurred in respect of : replacing boilers containing asbestos, the Decent Homes Partnership and work at Eastwood Village and the payment of the Council's contribution to the Flemming Way Teenage Parent Development. This was partly offset by underspending on some projects such as the Canklow Estate, where problems with service disconnection prior to demolition and delays in decanting, re-housing and acquisitions delayed spending. The out-turn position compares favourably with that in 2002/03 when some 89.4% of the programme was spent during the year.

Resources: In 2003/04 HIP spending is some 93% of the available resources. In order to maximise the use of resources during the financial year, the HIP was originally overcommitted, however during the year it became apparent that not all the projected receipts would be received and consequently in October the programme was scaled back to reflect this.

Original resource projections included some £1.7m in respect of the sale of Laughton Common, which did not complete in 2003/04, although a deposit of £0.2m was received. It is now likely that the final receipt from the sale of this property will be received in 2004/05 and will be less than the £1.7m. Against this shortfall in resources, however in the latter part of the year, the number of RTB sales increased significantly. Appendix 2 has details of the sales and receipts, but it should be noted that 1507 properties were sold during the year generating £7.2m useable receipts with a further £0.4m coming from other disposals.

These receipts together with those brought forward from previous financial years and other funding sources including the Major Repairs Allowance (MRA), Credit Approvals and grants will be used to fund spending. Details of this are set out in Appendix 3.



**11. Risks and Uncertainties**

The figures in this report represent the out-turn for 2003/04 and are not expected to change. Spending was closely monitored during the year in order to ensure that the approved programme was delivered. As however, resource projections were revised during the year, it was necessary to adjust spending plans. The Council does not have any direct control over the level of RTB sales and accordingly the increase in numbers of properties sold towards the end of the financial year has resulted in additional receipts some of which will be carried forward into 2004/05.

**12. Finance**

Financial issues are considered at sections 10 and 11 above.

**13. Sustainability**

Investment in the Council's Housing stock and in regeneration is a key component in ensuring the longer-term sustainability of housing stock and of neighbourhoods

**14. Wards Affected**

All Wards

**15. References**

Reports to Cabinet Member meeting on 28 April, 16 June, 29 September and 22 December 2003 and 2 February 2004 respectively.

**16. Presentation**

The HIP out-turn position will be embodied in the overall Medium Term Capital Out-turn report to Cabinet.

**17. Recommendations**

**THAT THE REPORT BE ACCEPTED.**

**HIP PROGRAMME 2003/04**

Building Works Wk 52 28/03/04, Creditors Wk 52 28/03/04

OLAS CODE	BUDGET HOLDER	FUNDED BY:	SCHEME PROFILING						REVISED PROG	APPENDIX 1	
			TOTAL PROG	3 MTHS	6 MTHS	9 MTHS	12 MTHS	27/05/04 CURRENT EXP.		CURRENT PHASING (End of March)	VARIANCE
<b>DECENT HOMES - LA SECTOR</b>											
JXR007	PB	Cap.Receipts	2,829,000	565,355	1,756,181	231,203	252,546	2,805,285	2,779,789	2,805,285	-25,496
JXR003	AM	MIRA	1,600,000	39,400	122,640	223,800	827,225	1,213,065	1,207,001	1,213,065	-6,064
JXR013	AM	BCA	100,000	135,000	30,000	0	28,943	193,943	184,340	193,943	-9,603
JXC269	GL	MIRA	8,900,000	1,080,894	2,221,512	2,599,946	2,641,808	8,544,160	8,847,155	8,544,160	302,995
JXC177	MO	MIRA	472,000	56,363	134,273	67,364	229,000	487,000	504,876	487,000	17,876
JXC262+296	BB	MIRA + Grant	3,200,000	1,000,000	1,300,000	600,000	385,000	3,285,000	3,268,362	3,285,000	-16,638
JXC268	BB	Gas Central Heating To Voids	200,000	0	50,000	40,000	80,000	170,000	142,884	170,000	-27,116
JXC571	BB	Replacement of Boilers With Asbestos	0	0	0	0	630,000	630,000	671,588	630,000	41,588
JXC276	GI	Capitalisation of Voids	750,000	123,000	182,000	222,498	222,498	749,996	773,681	749,996	23,685
JXC263	RW	Window Replacements	375,000	72,000	10,000	49,840	256,000	387,840	361,737	387,840	-26,103
JXC267	BB	Electrical Board & Bond	125,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	31,500	121,500	128,633	121,500	7,133
JXC274	BB	Rewires to Community Centres	75,000	10,000	30,000	26,000	18,200	84,200	95,872	84,200	11,672
JXR002	PB	Wath - White Bear	3,105,000	861,776	1,041,620	197,590	729,466	2,830,452	2,871,818	2,830,452	41,366
		<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>21,731,000</b>	<b>3,973,788</b>	<b>6,908,226</b>	<b>4,288,241</b>	<b>6,332,186</b>	<b>21,502,441</b>	<b>21,837,736</b>	<b>21,502,441</b>	<b>335,295</b>
<b>AFFORDABLE HOMES</b>											
JXC297	BB	District Heating Conversions	1,000,000	0	200,000	250,000	753,000	1,203,000	1,189,078	1,203,000	-13,922
JXC301	PM	Cavity Walls	80,000	0	65,558	57,730	28,631	151,919	150,893	151,919	-1,026
		<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>1,080,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>265,558</b>	<b>307,730</b>	<b>781,631</b>	<b>1,354,919</b>	<b>1,339,971</b>	<b>1,354,919</b>	<b>-14,948</b>
<b>FAIR ACCESS TO ALL</b>											
NXP'S	PB	Eastwood Village	1,486,000	176,948	100,418	61,866	323,142	662,374	841,897	662,374	179,523
NXE054,95,96	CW	Disabled Facilities Grants (Private Sector)	1,000,000	153,249	215,911	235,553	395,194	999,907	1,037,367	999,907	37,460
JXC549	CW	Disabled Adaptations (Public Sector)	900,000	216,642	170,432	213,460	352,268	952,802	945,933	952,802	-6,869
JXC097	MO	Disability Discrimination Act Works	195,000	22,441	1,353	0	74,000	97,794	105,745	97,794	7,951
JXC510	BM	Fleming Way Teenage Parent Development	0	0	0	0	265,500	265,500	265,500	0	
		<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>3,581,000</b>	<b>569,280</b>	<b>488,114</b>	<b>510,879</b>	<b>1,410,104</b>	<b>2,978,377</b>	<b>3,196,442</b>	<b>2,978,377</b>	<b>218,065</b>
<b>REGEN/NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL</b>											
JXR006	AM	Loughton Common	30,000	1,600	1,050	3,700	3,650	10,000	10,996	10,000	996
JXR008	AM	Canklow Estate	1,040,000	0	41,813	260,494	112,062	414,369	179,354	414,369	-235,015
NXY003	AM	Dinnington - East Street	162,000	50,550	47,000	36,450	25,884	159,884	131,754	159,884	-28,130
NXY009	AM	Kiveton Park - White City	65,000	1,800	46,000	13,600	3,360	64,760	53,082	64,760	-11,678
NXY007	AM	Canklow - Castle Avenue	125,000	45,740	22,400	22,800	15,860	106,800	25,570	106,800	-81,230
JXC360/1	AM	Homeless and Disturbance	100,000	0	50,000	30,000	110,000	117,999	110,000	117,999	7,999
JXR002	PB	Wath - White Bear	1,395,000	387,175	467,974	88,772	327,731	1,271,652	1,290,237	1,271,652	18,585
JXR001+14	BM	North Anston - Woodland Drive	25,000	17,500	1,500	19,274	5,910	44,184	48,198	44,184	4,014
JXR015	BM	Blackburn - Baring Road Flats	5,000	0	236	0	5,000	5,236	261	5,236	-4,975
NXY001	AM	Dalton Brook	77,000	0	1,000	10,500	21,915	33,415	27,942	33,415	-5,473
NXY004	AM	Brinsworth - Ellis Street	100,000	0	3,500	0	8,946	12,446	15,451	12,446	3,005
NXY012	AM	Monksbridge Terrace	0	0	0	45,518	0	45,518	46,636	45,518	1,118
NXE067	CW	Home Assistance Grants	50,000	16,647	13,576	9,041	7,675	46,939	45,698	46,939	-1,241
NXE080	CW	Renovation Grants - Discretionary	0	0	0	0	0	0	992	0	992
JXC275	BB	Digital TV	15,000	0	12,000	3,000	0	15,000	15,458	15,000	458
JXC576	BM	Small Environmental Schemes	200,000	11,000	27,000	134,000	48,629	220,629	227,245	220,629	6,616
JXC581	DA	Void Gardens	231,000	53,486	50,000	42,931	30,063	176,480	176,320	176,480	-160
JXC280	BD	Security Doors	50,000	0	0	0	36,916	36,916	36,916	0	
JXC281	AL	Victim Support Scheme	0	0	120	9,720	20,160	30,000	45,477	30,000	15,477
VARIOUS	BM	Research & Information	150,000	25,000	25,000	70,500	27,250	147,750	221,395	147,750	73,645
	SB	Local PSA	200,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Demolitions							237,995	0	237,995
		Acquisitions							124,272	0	124,272
		Carry overs from 2002/03							126,804	0	126,804
		<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>4,020,000</b>	<b>610,498</b>	<b>810,169</b>	<b>800,300</b>	<b>731,011</b>	<b>2,951,978</b>	<b>3,206,052</b>	<b>2,951,978</b>	<b>254,074</b>
		<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>30,412,000</b>	<b>5,153,566</b>	<b>8,472,067</b>	<b>5,907,150</b>	<b>9,254,932</b>	<b>28,787,715</b>	<b>29,580,201</b>	<b>28,787,715</b>	<b>792,486</b>
											<b>102.75 %</b>
											<b>Expenditure as a percentage of Revised Programme</b>

]

**ROTHERHAM METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL**  
**HOUSING AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES**

**Housing Capital Receipts**

1. Analysis of the sale of Council Houses for the period 1st April 2003 to 31st March 2004 shows the following :-

Totals by month :-	April	85	October	165
	May	65	November	146
	June	72	December	126
	July	116	January	184
	August	84	February	186
	Sept.	98	March	180

Total Council Houses sold 1507

<b><u>Cash Received</u></b>	£		£
Council Houses	28,968,323	@ 25%	7,242,081
Misc. Properties	119,000	@ 25%	29,750
Discounts repaid	106,247	@ 25%	26,562
Land	161,773	@ 50%	80,886
Land at Laughton Common	200,000	@ 100%	200,000
Estimated repayment of Principal from Council Mortgages	213,342	@ 25%	53,335
	<u>29,768,685</u>		<u>7,632,614</u>

**2. Cumulative Receipts**

Usable receipts in hand at 31/3/03	6,195,898
Less used for 2002/2003	<u>3,055,772</u>
	3,140,126
Receipts received 2003/2004 available for H.I.P. (as above)	7,632,614
Usable capital receipts as at 31/03/04	<u>10,772,740</u>

Please note that following the approval of the Corporate Management Team, the Reserved Part Receipts have been used to redeem debt at the end of 2001/02.

**Use of Capital Receipts 2003/2004**

The usable receipts to the end of March, as shown above, are £7.633m. Added to the receipts brought forward, this will give £10.773m of resources to support the H.I.P. Unspent usable capital receipts as at 31/3/2004 can be carried forward for use in 2004/2005.

A.Ellis, Finance and Accountancy Manager

ACC/HK 27/05/04

Appendix 3ROTHERHAM METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL  
HOUSING AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICESResources Used to Fund 2003/04 Housing Investment Programme

<b>Source of Resources</b>	<b>Available £m</b>	<b>Used in 2003/04 £m</b>	<b>Carried Forward to 2004/05 £m</b>
Credit Approvals	3.019	2.973	0.046
General Fund Contributions	0.426	0.415	0.011
MRA	16.660	15.680	0.980
Grants etc	0.804	0.804	0
Capital Receipts	10.773	9.810	0.963
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>31.682</b>	<b>29.682</b>	<b>2.000</b>

These funded:

- HIP expenditure in 2003/04                      £29.580m
- Accruals    £ 0.102m
- TOTAL EXPENDITURE                              £29.682m

By virtue of paragraph(s) 8 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 8 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 8 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted



By virtue of paragraph(s) 7, 9 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 8, 9 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 8, 9 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 8, 9 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 8, 9 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 4, 5, 8 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 4, 5, 8 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted



By virtue of paragraph(s) 4, 5, 8 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted